

Intermediate Microeconomics

Recitation #1

Sai Ma

New York University

September 10, 2013

- Sai Ma
 - Second Year Econ PhD
 - Email: sm4529@nyu.edu
 - office hour
- Department of Economics
 - 19 West 4th, 5-8th Floor
 - Office 819

- Check message from blackboard frequently. (Not emailed to everyone sometimes)
- Feel free to email me regarding short question. Long question is welcomed but appointment is preferred.
- Make sure to fully understand the problem sets
 - Help understand the materials
 - Help prepare the midterm and final
- Practice and practice
 - Questions from textbook recommended

Question 1

Problem

Joe has the following preference over the coffee shops: for any two coffee shops A, B , he weakly prefers A to B if a small cup of coffee is at least as cheap at A as at B , and also A is at least as close (to his house) as B . Thus if p_i, d_i are the price, distance for coffee shop i ,

$$A \succeq B \iff p_A \leq p_B \text{ and } d_A \leq d_B$$

Show that his preference relation \succeq may not be complete

Question 1

Let's quickly refresh our memory about the basics of Preference. We use the following symbols to denote an individual's preferences between any two alternatives:

\succsim means weak preference

\succ means **strict** preference

\sim means indifference

- Preferences are rational if \succsim satisfies two properties:
 - complete: for any two choices A, B , either $A \succsim B$ or $B \succsim A$ or *both*
 - when doing a questionnaire choosing A, B , the answer "I don't know" is not allowed
 - transitive: if $A \succsim B$ and $B \succsim C$, then $A \succsim C$

Question 1

Solution

For \succsim to be complete, it must be that for any pair A, B , either $A \succsim B$ or $B \succsim A$ or both. This does not hold if there are two coffee shops A, B such that $p_A < p_B$ and $d_A > d_B$.

- Why?

Question 1

Solution

For \succsim to be complete, it must be that for any pair A, B , either $A \succsim B$ or $B \succsim A$ or both. This does not hold if there are two coffee shops A, B such that $p_A < p_B$ and $d_A > d_B$.

- Why?
- The first inequality implies that $B \succsim A$ does not hold, since B is more expensive; the second implies that $A \succsim B$ does not hold, since A is further away.

Question 2

Problem

Explain graphically why indifference curves cannot cross

Question 2

Let's formally define the indifference curve

Definition

Let \succsim be a preference relation on a set X . The indifference curve $IC(x)$ is a set of all $y \in X$ for which $y \sim x$

- In the set notation,

$$IC(x) = \{y \in X | y \sim x\}$$

Question 2

Solution

Graph on the Board. Suppose by contradiction that the indifference curve can cross. Then by IC_2 , $A \sim B$. But according to IC_1 , $A \succ C$ and $C \succ B$. By transitivity, this implies $A \succ B$, contradiction.

Question 3

Problem (3a)

Argue that the utility function $v(x_1, x_2) = 2 \ln x_1 + \ln x_2$ represents the same preference as $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Problem (3b)

Write the equation of an indifference curve which represents these preferences and goes through the point $(1,1)$

Problem (3c)

If his consumer has twice as many units of good 1 as good 2, then what's his marginal rate of substitution (MRS) between the goods?

Question 3

Let's briefly talk about utility function.

Definition

We say that the function $U : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ represents the preference \succsim if for all x and $y \in X$, $x \succsim y$ if and only iff $U(x) \geq U(y)$. If the function U represents the preference relation \succsim , we refer to it has a utility function or we say that \succsim has a utility representation.

Question 3

Theorem

If U represents \succsim , then for any **strictly increasing** function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the function $V(x) = f(U(x))$ represents \succsim as well

- Proof?

Question 3

Proof.

$x \succsim y$

$\iff U(x) \geq U(y)$ (since U represents \succsim)

$\iff f(U(x)) \geq f(U(y))$ (since f is strictly increasing)

$\iff V(x) \geq U(y)$



Question 3

Back to our problem

Problem (3a)

Argue that the utility function $v(x_1, x_2) = 2 \ln x_1 + \ln x_2$ represents the same preference as $u(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Solution

Here $v = \ln(u^3)$. This is a **strictly increasing** function of u (why?) and hence by the theorem, v represents the same preference.

Question 3

Problem (3b)

Write the equation of an indifference curve which represents these preferences and goes through the point (1,1)

Solution

We want all points (x_1, x_2) satisfying $(x_1, x_2) \sim (1, 1)$: since u represents the preferences, this requires

$$\begin{aligned}u(x_1, x_2) &= u(1, 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow x_1^{\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{\frac{1}{3}} &= 1\end{aligned}$$

- Note you can also use v , in that case the equation is $2 \ln x_1 + \ln x_2 = 0$ (verify)
 - Graph?

Question 3

Problem (3b)

Write the equation of an indifference curve which represents these preferences and goes through the point (1,1)

Solution

We want all points (x_1, x_2) satisfying $(x_1, x_2) \sim (1, 1)$: since u represents the preferences, this requires

$$\begin{aligned}u(x_1, x_2) &= u(1, 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow x_1^{\frac{2}{3}} x_2^{\frac{1}{3}} &= 1\end{aligned}$$

- Note you can also use v , in that case the equation is $2 \ln x_1 + \ln x_2 = 0$ (verify)
 - Graph?
 - plot $x_2 = \frac{1}{x_1^2}$

Question 3

For 3(c), let's review marginal rate of substitution (MRS)

- tells you the rate at which you would give up y to get a bit more x

- Formula in this case:

$$MRS_{(x,y)} = \frac{MU_x}{MU_y}$$

- Negative slope of the indifference curve
- Diminishing MRS implies preferences are **convex**

Question 3

Problem (3c)

If his consumer has twice as many units of good 1 as good 2, then what's his marginal rate of substitution (MRS) between the goods?

Solution

$$MU_1 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$MU_2 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{So, } MRS_{(x_1, x_2)} = \frac{MU_1}{MU_2} = \frac{2x_2}{x_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow MRS_{(x_1, x_2)} = 1 \text{ when } x_1 = 2x_2$$

- Much less tedious if using $v(x_1, x_2)$ instead of u .

Question 4

Problem

John always eats his ballpark hotdog in a special way: he uses a footlong hotdog with precisely half a bun, 1 ounce of mustard, and 2 ounces of pickle relish. His utility depends only on these four items, and any extra amount of a single item (without the others) is worthless to him. What form does his utility function have?

- Solution?

Question 4

Problem

John always eats his ballpark hotdog in a special way: he uses a footlong hotdog with precisely half a bun, 1 ounce of mustard, and 2 ounces of pickle relish. His utility depends only on these four items, and any extra amount of a single item (without the others) is worthless to him. What form does his utility function have?

- Solution?
- $u(h, b, m, r) = \min(h, 2b, m, 0.5r)$

Question 4

Problem

John always eats his ballpark hotdog in a special way: he uses a footlong hotdog with precisely half a bun, 1 ounce of mustard, and 2 ounces of pickle relish. His utility depends only on these four items, and any extra amount of a single item (without the others) is worthless to him. What form does his utility function have?

- Solution?
- $u(h, b, m, r) = \min(h, 2b, m, 0.5r)$
- $(1, 0.5, 1, 2) \sim (1, 0.5, 800, 2)$

Definitions

Preferences \succsim are **convex** if $x \succsim y$ implies $\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \succsim y$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Definitions

Preferences \succsim are **strictly convex** if $a \succsim y$, $b \succsim y$ and $a \neq b$ implies $\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b \succ y$, $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1)$.

- In the footnote in chapter 3 of textbook, there is a fancy way to check if the preference is convex. Denote f be the utility function, then the represented preference is strictly convex if

$$f_2^2 f_{11} - 2f_1 f_2 f_{12} + f_1^2 f_{22} < 0$$

- So if the cross derivative is zero (i.e $f_{12} = 0$), then the preference is strictly convex if $f_{11} < 0$ and $f_{22} < 0$
- Examples
 - (Cobb-Douglas) $u(x, y) = \ln x + \ln y$
 - (Perfect Substitution) $u(x, y) = x + y$
 - (CES) $u(x, y) = \frac{x^\delta + y^\delta}{\delta}$
- You can always use diminishing MRS criterion to check if the preferences are convex

CES Utility Function

$$u(x, y) = \frac{x^\delta + y^\delta}{\delta}$$

- If $\delta = 1$, $u(x, y) = x + y$, (perfect substitution)
- If $\delta = 0$, $u(x, y) = \ln x + \ln y$, (Cobb-Douglas). Derivation using L'Hôpital's rule
- If $\delta = -\infty$, $u(x, y) = \min(x, y)$ perfect complement
- Derivation beyond the scope of this course